	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/561,110	KIM ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mr. Terry K. Cecil	1797
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>now allowed</u>	
(1) Mr. Terry K. Cecil.	(3)	
(2) <u>F. Jordan</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>1 June 2010</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 15, 23, and 37		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENI The examiner recommend changes to the independent claim to and 23 were amended to correct for antecedent bases and clai part of the structure.	put the case into condition for allo	wance. As a result, claims 15
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand 	he examiner will provide a written record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Mr. Terry K. Cecil/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)